

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS
RESPONDENT FOR THE DEMOLITION OF RESIDENCES
“JOHN P. TAYLOR APARTMENTS BUILDINGS 1 AND 2”
125 River Street, Troy, NY
Released December 20, 2021

PROJECT SCHEDULE:

The current schedule for the Demolition Respondent selection is as follows:

December 20, 2022	RFQ Released
December 31, 2021 (on or before)	Additional Environmental Information Posted
January 5, 2022 (10:00AM)	Site Visit
January 7, 2022 (11:00AM)	Respondents Questions Due
By January 11, 2022 (4:00PM)	Responses to Questions Posted on THA website
January 14, 2021 (1:00PM)	Responses to RFQ Due

THA reserves the right to modify the schedule at its sole discretion.

RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS RECEIVED:

1. The information provided with respect to the lead in soils is insufficient to determine the volume of soil as it does not include depth and area indications. The information provided is also insufficient to determine waste stream classification as there is not sufficient analysis.
 - a. Will the Owner please provide a bid quantity for impacted soil for apples to apples bidding purposes?
The Cost Estimate Summary calls for a Unit Cost and Cost per Square Foot which will allow for an apples to apples comparison.
 - b. Can the bidders assume that the identified lead content is the only constituent/contaminant present in the soil?
Yes.
2. The steam piping still in ground (see attachment) what part of the worksheet should this be put into?
This item should be placed in 3. Demolition, d. Other.
3. What is the schedule for completion from the 12/8/2021 Tenant and Community Presentation construction to begin in Q4 of this year?
This was an estimated timeframe provided during a tenant and community presentation. It is not part of this solicitation.
4. Per the Lead in Soil Report – Posted 12-29-21 do we assume to just strip and dispose of existing topsoil?
None of the samples exceeded levels that would require remediation. Bidders should not assume stripping and disposing existing top soils.
5. Will vibration monitoring include the walls supporting River and Ferry St?
Yes.
6. The survey dated April 2013 by C.T. Male indicates window caulk (older) to be 3.5% asbestos. The revised survey by AEI indicates trace materials on glazing and N.A.D. on caulk. Are we to remove the windows as ACM or leave in place?
Since there are conflicting reports respondents should price as if not removing windows and add a note indicating what the increase in cost would be if removal of windows is required.

